# 11th Grade Language Arts Frameworks 2015-2020

## 11th Grade - Unit 1 (Assessments 1, 2, 3) Semester 1

### Theme: How do American authors create meaning?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standards (Reporting Strands)</th>
<th>Reading – Literary/Informational</th>
<th>Writing</th>
<th>Speaking &amp; Listening</th>
<th>Language/Conventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-Cite</td>
<td>Read closely to cite, analyze and infer appropriate to task, purpose and audience.</td>
<td>1-Argument</td>
<td>Present and respond to information appropriate to task, purpose and audience.</td>
<td>Demonstrate command of grammar, usage and language appropriate to task, purpose and audience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Analyze structure</td>
<td>1a, 1c - Argument</td>
<td>1-Prepare and participate 5- Use of Media</td>
<td>3-Language functions in contexts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Analyze point of view</td>
<td>2-Informative/Expository</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Instructional Focus Standards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topical Essential Questions</th>
<th>How does a writer engage with their reader?</th>
<th>Why do writers choose a particular medium or structure to deliver their message?</th>
<th>What makes a meaningful analysis?</th>
<th>What makes rhetoric effective, powerful and persuasive?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enduring Understandings</td>
<td>Writers cite evidence from multiple sources and integrate that information to maintain their ideas, but also establish ethos, logos and pathos.</td>
<td>Writers investigate their intended audience and address both the needs of the message and the needs of their audience.</td>
<td>A meaningful analysis should focus on the context, creator, medium, claims, and appeals of a text.</td>
<td>Rhetoric needs to share a writer’s point of view delivered within a specific style that impacts an audience using rhetorical appeals, language and conventions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>History of Rhetoric</th>
<th>Evidence (Ethos, Pathos, Logos)</th>
<th>Author’s Purpose</th>
<th>Formatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhetorical Strategies / Devices</td>
<td>Rhetorical Triangle</td>
<td>Appealing to your target audience</td>
<td>1. Analyzing Rhetorical Strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author’s Intent</td>
<td>Review Ethos, Logos, Pathos, Kairos</td>
<td>Review parenthetical citations</td>
<td>a. Identify author’s purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review Ethos, Logos, Pathos, Kairos</td>
<td>Review claim and evidence</td>
<td>Structure of Rhetorical Analysis</td>
<td>b. Informative writing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claim / Counterclaim</td>
<td>Conversation Stems</td>
<td>2. Applying Rhetorical Strategies</td>
<td>c. Academic discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone and Diction</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td>a. Introduce claim</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syntax</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td>b. Develop claim(s) and counterclaims</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point of View</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>c. Make strategic use of digital media</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logical Fallacies</td>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influence of rhetorical strategies on argument</td>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socratic Seminar/Academic Discussion</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Summatives

|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|

### Learning Targets

- I can define point of view as how the author feels about the situation/topic of a text.
- I can determine an author’s point of view (What do I know about the author’s opinions, values, and/or beliefs?) and explain his/her purpose for writing the text.
- I can define rhetoric (a technique an author uses to persuade a reader to consider a topic from a different perspective).
- I can identify when an author uses rhetoric and analyze how the rhetoric strengthens his/her point of view or purpose.
- I can analyze how the author’s style and content contribute to the power, persuasiveness, or beauty of the text.
- I can choose a topic and identify and select the most significant and relevant information (e.g., well-chosen facts, extended definitions, concrete details, quotations, examples) to develop and share with my audience.
- I can define common organizational/formatting structures (e.g., headings, graphics, multimedia) and determine the structure(s) that will allow me to organize my complex ideas so that each new element builds on what precedes it.
I can analyze the information, identify domain-specific vocabulary for my topic, incorporate techniques such as metaphor, simile, and analogy, and organize information into broader categories using my chosen structure(s).

I can present my information maintaining an objective tone and formal style that includes an introduction that previews what is to follow, supporting details, varied transitions and syntax (to clarify and create cohesion when I move from one idea to another), and a concluding statement/section that supports the information presented.

I can review and/or research material(s) to be discussed and determine key points and/or central ideas.

I can create questions and locate key textual evidence to contribute to a discussion on the given topic, text, or issue.

I can work with peers to define the rules and roles necessary to promote civil, democratic discussions and decision-making.

I can come prepared with key points and textual evidence to contribute to a discussion and stimulate a thoughtful well-reasoned exchange of ideas.

I can participate in a discussion by posing questions that connect the ideas of several speakers, responding to questions, and elaborating on my own ideas and/or the ideas of others to ensure a full range of positions on a topic or issue.

I can propel conversations by clarifying, verifying, or challenging ideas and conclusions to promote divergent and creative perspectives.

I can respond thoughtfully to diverse perspectives; synthesize comments, claims, and evidence; resolve contradictions when possible; and determine when additional information or research is required.

I can analyze substantive (influential) topics or texts to determine an argument that causes or has caused a debate in society.

I can choose a side of the argument, identify precise, knowledgeable claims, and establish the significance of the claim(s).

I can identify alternate or opposing claims that counter my argument.

I can organize claims, counterclaims, reasons, and evidence into a logical sequence.

I can anticipate my audience's knowledge level, concerns, values, and possible biases and develop my claims and counterclaims by pointing out the most relevant strengths and limitations of both.

I can present my argument in a formal style and objective tone.

I can create cohesion and clarify relationships among claims and counterclaims using transitions as well as varied syntax.

I can provide a concluding statement/section that supports my argument.

I can identify the parts of my presentation, including findings, reasoning, and evidence, that could use clarification, strengthening, and/or additional interest.

I can integrate appropriate digital media in a strategic manner to improve my presentation.

I can define textual evidence ("word for word" support)

I can define inference and explain how a reader uses textual evidence to reach a logical conclusion ("based on what I've read, It's most likely true that...").

I can read closely and find answers explicitly in text (right there answers) and answers that require an inference.

I can analyze an author's words and determine multiple pieces of textual evidence that strongly and thoroughly support both explicit and inferential questions.

I can determine places in the text that leave matters uncertain (e.g., when the reader must draw his/her own conclusions/assumptions).

I can define point of view as how the author feels about the situation/topic of a text.

I can determine an author's point of view (What do I know about the author's opinions, values, and/or beliefs?) and explain his/her purpose for writing the text.

I can define rhetoric (a technique an author uses to persuade a reader to consider a topic from a different perspective).

I can identify when an author uses rhetoric and analyze how the rhetoric strengthens his/her point of view or purpose.

I can analyze how the author's style and content contribute to the power, persuasiveness, or beauty of the text.

I can choose a topic and identify and select the most significant and relevant information (e.g., well-chosen facts, extended definitions, concrete details, quotations, examples) to develop and share with my audience.

I can define common organizational/formatting structures (e.g., headings, graphics, multimedia) and determine the structure(s) that will allow me to organize my complex ideas so that each new element builds on what precedes it.

I can analyze the information, identify domain-specific vocabulary for my topic, incorporate techniques such as metaphor, simile, and analogy, and organize information into broader categories using my chosen structure(s).

I can present my information maintaining an objective tone and formal style that includes an introduction that previews what is to follow, supporting details, varied transitions and syntax (to clarify and create cohesion when I move from one idea to another), and a concluding statement/section that supports the information presented.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vocabulary Suggestions</th>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Tier 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plagiarism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraphrase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quote</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conform</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belief</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exigency</td>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhetorical Strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irony</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tableau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood</td>
<td></td>
<td>Foreshadowing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character validity</td>
<td></td>
<td>Archetype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td></td>
<td>Academic voice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diverse viewpoints</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommended Text</th>
<th>Tier 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>StudySync Core ELA 11 Speaking and Listening Handbook</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertisements (printed or visual)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presidential Speeches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I Want a Wife” - Judy Brady</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“A Modest Proposal” - Johnathon Swift</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barack Obama’s speech following Sandy Hook Shooting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Standards (Reporting Strands)
- Read closely to cite, analyze and infer appropriate to task, purpose and audience.
- Produce clear and consistent writing appropriate to task, purpose and audience.
- Present and respond to information appropriate to task, purpose and audience.
- Demonstrate command of grammar, usage and language appropriate to task, purpose and audience.

### Instructional Focus Standards
1. Cite evidence
2. Determine central ideas
7. Analyze in two different mediums
8. Delineate/evaluate argument and claims
9. Analyze source material
1. Argument
2. Informational
7. Short/sustained research
8. Gather sources
2. Diverse media formats
4. Presentation
1, 2. Conventions

### Topical Essential Questions
- How can a reader identify and use an author's claim?
- How does a writer synthesize information from a variety of sources?
- How can multimedia be used to share a message?
- How do writers use another's words to support claims?

### Enduring Understandings
- Readers can use author's claims from a variety of sources to support their thinking.
- Writers affirm the validity of evidence from various sources to embed in their own claims and ideas.
- Speakers produce clear ideas, involving selecting appropriate style and structure for an audience, strengthened through revision and technology.
- Writers avoid plagiarism and give recognition to others through direct quotations, paraphrasing, citations and bibliographies.

### Assessments
- Characterization
- Theme
- Archaic Language (based on text choice)
- Trial/Debate Expectations
- Review Logical Fallacies

### Learning Targets
- I can define textual evidence ("word for word" support).
- I can define inference and explain how a reader uses textual evidence to reach a logical conclusions ("based on what I’ve read, it's most likely true that...").
- I can read closely and find answers explicitly in text (right there answers) and answers that require an inference.
- I can analyze an author's words and determine multiple pieces of textual evidence that strongly and thoroughly support both explicit and inferential questions.
- I can determine places in the text that leave matters uncertain (e.g., when the reader must draw his/her own conclusions/assumptions.
- I can define theme (a central idea or lesson the author is revealing - Honesty is the best policy.)
- I can analyze plot (the events that happen) to determine two or more themes (author’s overall messages).
- I can determine how multiple themes in a text develop and interact to build on one another and produce a complex account (e.g. The Old Man and the Sea, Wuthering Heights, Jane Eyre.)
- I can define summary (a shortened version of the text that states key points.
- I can compose an objective summary stating the key point of the text without adding my own opinions or feelings.

### Formative
- 1. Literary Analysis
  - a. Identify Evidence
  - b. Analyze Theme
  - c. Inform Readers

### Summative
- 1. Literary Analysis
  - a. Identify Evidence
  - b. Analyze Theme
  - c. Inform Readers
  - 2. Presenting An Argument
    - a. Evaluate Speakers
    - b. Present Information
    - c. Demonstrate command of formal English
I can choose a topic and identify and select the most significant and relevant information (e.g., well-chosen facts, extended definitions, concrete details, quotations, examples) to develop and share with my audience.

I can define common organizational/formatting structures (e.g., headings, graphics, multimedia) and determine the structure(s) that will allow me to organize my complex ideas so that each new element builds on what precedes it.

I can analyze the information, identify domain-specific vocabulary for my topic, incorporate techniques such as metaphor, simile, and analogy, and organize information into broader categories using my chosen structure(s).

I can present my information maintaining an objective tone and formal style that includes an introduction that previews what is to follow, supporting details, varied transitions and syntax (to clarify and create cohesion when I move from one idea to another), and a concluding statement/section that supports the information presented.

I can define point of view as how the speaker feels about the situation/topic being presented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vocabulary Suggestions</th>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Tier 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synthesis</td>
<td>Conflict</td>
<td>Diction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasoning</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Syntax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision</td>
<td>Sentence structures</td>
<td>Argument Structures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I can determine a speaker’s point of view (What do I know about the speaker’s opinions, values, and/or beliefs?) and explain his/her reasoning.

I can define rhetoric (a technique used to persuade a listener to consider a topic from a different perspective).

I can identify when a speaker uses evidence and/or rhetoric and analyze how these techniques strengthen his/her point of view or purpose.

I can assess the stance, premises, links among ideas, word choice, points of emphasis, and tone used by the speaker.

I can present information, findings, and/or supporting evidence clearly, concisely, and logically to convey a clear and distinct perspective.

I can present my information in a sequence that allows the listener to follow my line of reasoning.

I can address alternative or opposing perspectives in my presentation.

I can prepare a presentation with organization, development, substance, and style that are appropriate to purpose, task, audience, and a range of formal and informal tasks.

I can identify various reasons for speaking (e.g., informational, descriptive, formal, informal).

I can determine speaking tasks that will require a formal structure.

I can compose a formal speech that demonstrates a command of grades 11-12 Language standards.

Recommended Text


*The Crucible*: "The Crucible the perfect play for our post-truth times" - Douglas Rintoul


*The Great Gatsby*: "Why The Great Gatsby Endures" - Richard Brody