


BUILDING SUMMARY						
Gross SF	46,711	Number of Levels	3			
Year Built	1887	Number of Additions	3			




Occupancy**				7
Effective Capacity		404		
Total Enrollment	277			

Occupancy: the maximum number of people that can be housed in a space in accordance with the building/ fire code **NOTE: Occupancy is NOT the recommended number of students for a space, it is the

maximum allowed by code.

Effective Capacity: the amount of students a school can effectively support based on the District's current practices and future vision for teaching and learning. This is calculated based on ISBE's square footage per student guideline. Calculated based on core classrooms, science labs and Special Education spaces. **Enrollment:** number of students that attended the facility in 2019-2020.



* This comparison notes the difference between Garfield Elementary School area per student in comparison to the current National average as noted in the 2015 School Construction Report. The master planning process will produce outcomes pertinent to the District as a whole. This is just one metric to compare space.

DLR Group

square feet


per student



3




ENROLLMENT METRICS



Furthest approximate travel time from one location to another for an average Kindergarten Student.

Furthest approximate travel time from one location to another for an average Fourth Grade Student.

Elective Classroom



Performing Arts Classroom Stem / Hands-On Learning

OmO Travel Path Under-sized



Room Capacity 10 based on ISBE Guidelines (not included in Effective Capacity) January 27, 2021

	Spatial Educational Adequacy(25%) D	Facility Condit	ion(35%)	С	
	(Data collected through Staff Survey)	5.9/10	FCI		.15	
Physical Features		6.5/10	Water Usage(5	5%)	Α	
	Environment Supports Variety	5.3/10	Gallons/SF	<i>i</i> /oj	4.7	
	Visual Stimulation	7.3/10	Gallons/SF		4.7	
Future Readiness		4.8/10	Energy Usage((10%)	С	
	Building Allocation(25%)	D	Total EUI Electric	50.8kBTU/9 20.2kBTU/9	-	
)	Gross SF/student Site Acreage/Guideline Mobiles in Use/Basement Used	168 37% No/Yes			ΓU/SF/yr	

AGGREGATED FACILITY GRADE

Educational Adequacy grades were determined by a survey issued to staff. Square Foot/Student grades were determined by building area and enrollment. Facility grades are determined building assessments. Water grades were determined by comparing utility data to the Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey. Energy grades were deteremined by comparing utility data to the US Dept of Energy's Building Performance Database. Percent in parenthesis indicates weight of category in aggregate facility grade.

С

SYSTEM


BUILDING


BY

>


FACILIT

Observing representative classrooms within the school through a typical day allows the design team to quantify how learning spaces are used. Measurements are averaged from all classrooms visited.





This chart indicates the approximate cost of deferred and anticipated maintenance (in dollars) of items assessed by building system. Highlighted items indicate those items in immediate need, code requirement, poor and fair condition.




COS⁻ CONDITION WATER \$2.83M \$1.86M +FACILITY BY **USAGE** 185 02 \$0.0M \$2.5M \$3.0M \$0.5M \$1.0M \$1.5M \$2.0N ER This chart indicates the approximate cost of deferred and anticipated AT maintenance (in dollars) based on condition of assessed items.

What's a Listening Tour?


Staff surveys (Listening Tours) were sent to each school where faculty gave input about the strengths and weaknesses of the building. The following five comments highlight common themes and concerns.

Listening Tour Comments From Staff

- New furniture and storage space in the main office would make it more usable.
- There is too much dust around the whole building.
- The lunchroom and eating spaces need the most improvement.
- · Some of the best spaces in the building include the nurse's office, the classrooms, the library and the gym.
- •The thermal aspects and finishes of the building need improvement.



DLR Group



How is this information collected?

 \geq

STENING TOUR

FACILITY GRADES

The goal of the DLR Group integrated design team is to **collect multiple** qualitative and quantitative data points around the same set of items - for example energy use, air quality, or learning behavior - in order to form a holistic picture. The team collects these data points through the use of sensors (in the space for 1-7 days), spot measurement equipment, expert walkthroughs, focus groups, surveys, and ethnographic observation techniques. The results are validated by cross-checking data points, such as a survey answer and a spot measurement, that should relate to one another.