

BUILDING SUMMARY				
Gross SF	75,733	Number of Levels	2	
Year Built	1992	Number of Additions	1	





LEVEL 1



**Occupancy:** the maximum number of people that can be housed in a space in accordance with the building/ fire code \*\*NOTE: Occupancy is NOT the recommended number of students for a space, it is the

maximum allowed by code.

#### Effective Capacity: the amount of

students a school can effectively support based on the District's current practices and future vision for teaching and learning. This is calculated based on ISBE's square footage per student guideline.

**Enrollment:** current number of students attending the facility.



LEVEL 2

# 0 15' 30' 60 \* This comparison notes the difference

TRAVEL Furthest approximate travel 6-X time from one location to another for an average Kindergarten Student.



LOCATION

FACILITY



Furthest approximate travel time from one location to another for an average **Fourth Grade Student.** 

- Performance Venue Performing Arts Classroom Resources Science Lab Special Education Stem / Hands-On Learning Restrooms Student Support Visual Arts

OmO Travel Path Under-sized space



10

Room Capacity based on ISBE Guidelines

Room Capacity based on ISBE Guidelines (not included in Effective Capacity)

January 27, 2021

]	Spatial Educational Adequacy	25%) B	Facility Condition(	(35%) C	
	(Data collected through Staff Survey)	8.4/10	FCI	.12	
Physical Features Environment Supports Variety Visual Stimulation		8.4/10	Water Usage(5%)		
		8.6/10	• • • •	Α	
		10.0/10	Gallons/SF	6.3	
	Future Readiness	7.1/10	Energy Usage(10%	6) D	
	Building Allocation(25%)	С	<b>Total EUI</b> Electric	64.3kBTU/SF/yr 25.9kBTU/SF/yr	
Gross SF/student Site Acreage/Guideline		116	Gas	38.4kBTU/SF/yr	
		110%	945		
	Mobiles in Use/Basement Used	No/No			

### AGGREGATED FACILITY GRADE

B-

Educational Adequacy grades were determined by a survey issued to staff. Square Foot/Student grades were determined by building area and enrollment. Facility grades are determined building assessments. Water grades were determined by comparing utility data to the Commercial Buildings Energy Consumption Survey. Energy grades were deteremined by comparing utility data to the US Dept of Energy's Building Performance Database. Percent in parenthesis indicates weight of category in aggregate facility grade.

Activity mapping is based on survey data (Week in the Life) collected by teachers throughout the district over the course of one week. The teachers provided the learning activity and amount of time spent in that activity. Data was aggregated for the school and is represented by the average percent of time spent in the activity.



## What's a Listening Tour?

Staff surveys (Listening Tours) were sent to each school where faculty gave input about the strengths and weaknesses of the building. The following comments highlight common themes and concerns.

#### **Listening Tour Comments**

- The art room was great, but is now a classroom because of high enrollment.
- There is not enough space in the school to provide adequate offices for admin, support staff and other providers. More classrooms are also needed to alleviate specials from being converted into general classrooms.
- Temperature is inconsistent throughout the building.
- Furniture needs to be updated to provide more flexible seating options within the classroom.
- The building is inviting and the new playground and parking lot are awesome and safe!
- Teachers, staff and students respect the space and take care of it.
- The building is easy to navigate because the hallways connect in a grid.

**STENING** TOUR

FACILITY GRADES



This chart indicates the approximate cost of deferred and anticipated maintenance (in dollars) of items assessed by building system. Highlighted items indicate those items in immediate need, code requirement, poor and fair condition.



This chart indicates the approximate cost of deferred and anticipated maintenance (in dollars) based on condition of assessed items.



This chart indicates the approximate cost of deferred and anticipated maintenance (in dollars) based on condition of assessed items in relation to the entire cohort of buildings.





80

60

- -

- -

40

20

Λ

0.3

0.2

1.1

0.1

0

20

15

- -

10

 $\geq$ 

ECTION

COLLE

4

AT

Ō

#### WATER USAGE/SF FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS IN U-46

Water usage is a key metric that expresses a school's water use and total cost of water in comparison to the other middle schools in the district.

#### How is this information collected?

The goal of the DLR Group integrated design team is to **collect multiple** qualitative and quantitative data points around the same set of items - for example energy use, air quality, or learning behavior - in order to form a holistic picture. The team collects these data points through the use of sensors (in the space for 1-7 days), spot measurement equipment, expert walkthroughs, focus groups, surveys, and ethnographic observation techniques. The results are validated by cross-checking data points, such as a survey answer and a spot measurement, that should relate to one another.